Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/577,866	KHROMYKH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AGNIESZKA BOESEN	1648	

	AGNIESZKA BOESEN	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, becaming role; if box it is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN CHECK BOX (c) WHEN THE FIR					
Examiner note: 1 box 1 is creeked, check either box (a) or (b) which the check box (b) when the first replit was filled within Months of the final rejection. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled in the date of the date of the substraint of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee is under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set for thin (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		L below),			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
 X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment of the province of the proposed amendment of the		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected to					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allower	ce hecause:		
	accomor piace die application in	sonation for anowall	oo beeddae.		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

Applicant added new claims 38-50 which require a new search and consideration. It is noted that if the amendment had been entered, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 35 112.1 enablement and the provisional ODP rejection would have been withdrawn. However the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) would have been maintained. It is noted that at least new claim 38 would have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the same reference Westaway (US Patent 6,893,866) cited in the art rejection for claims 17-23 26 and 28. Thus because Applicant's amendment necessitated a new ground of rejection for the newly added claims the amendment will not be entered.